

EXHIBIT 4

Case Name/Billing Attorney	Years of Experience/Years in Practice	Hourly Rate
<p><i>Vess v. Bank of Am., N.A.</i>, No. 10-cv-0920 AJB, 2013 WL 5775330, at *4 (S.D. Cal. Oct. 24, 2013)</p> <p>Decl. of Alisa A. Martin ¶¶ 16, 18</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Alisa A. Martin - James R. Patterson 	<p>Bar admission – 2002 = 11 years’ experience at time of this case; class action experience = 10 years</p> <p>Bar admission – 2000 = 13 years’ experience at time of this case</p>	<p>\$575</p> <p>\$675</p>
<p><i>Johansson-Dohrmann v. Cbr Systems, Inc.</i>, No. 3:12-cv-01115-MMA-BGS, 2013 WL 3864341, at *10 (S.D. Cal. July 24, 2013) (approving average hourly rate of \$540); <i>id.</i> at *53 (declaring that in <u>2007</u> hourly rates at San Diego office of Luce, Forward were \$725 for a partner and \$220-\$450 for associates)</p> <p>Decl. of Patrick N. Keegan, ¶¶ 20</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Patrick N. Keegan - Average hourly rate 	<p>Bar admission – 1993 = 20 years’ experience at time of this case</p>	<p>\$695</p> <p>\$540</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Testifying at ¶ 53 with proof at Ex. C (p. 54 of 76) that <u>in 2007</u> hourly rates at San Diego office of Luce, Forward were \$725 for a partner and \$220-\$450 for associates 		<p>\$725 (partner, in 2007)</p> <p>\$220-\$450 (associate rate range, in 2007)</p>
<p><i>Morey v. Louis Vuitton, No. Am., Inc.</i>, No. 11-cv-1517 WQH (BLM)., 2014 WL 109194, at *6-7 (S.D. Cal. Jan. 9, 2014) (coupon settlement)</p> <p>Decl. of Gene J. Stonebarger ¶¶ 6, 7 & Ex. A</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Gene J. Stonebarger - Richard D. Lambert - Elaine W. Yan - James R. Patterson - Allison Goddard 	<p>Bar admission – 2000 = 14 years’ experience</p> <p>Bar admission – 2007 = 7 years’ experience</p> <p>Bar admission – 2011 = 3 years’ experience, 2 Witkin Awards</p> <p>Bar admission – 2000 = 14 years’ experience</p> <p>Bar admission – 2000 = 14 years’ experience</p>	<p>\$650</p> <p>\$500</p> <p>\$350</p> <p>\$675</p> <p>\$675</p>
<p><i>Chaikin v. Lululemon USA Inc.</i>, No. 3:12–CV–02481–GPC–MDD, 2014 WL 1245461, at *6-7 (S.D. Cal. Mar. 17, 2014) (\$25 off future purchase settlement)</p> <p>Decl. of Gene J. Stonebarger ¶ 6</p>		

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Gene J. Stonebarger - Richard D. Lambert - Elaine W. Yan - James R. Patterson - Brian J. Lawler 	<p>Same as above</p> <p>Same as above</p> <p>Same as above</p> <p>Same as above</p> <p>Same as above</p> <p>Bar admission – 2002 (members.calbar.ca.gov/fal/Member/Detail/221488)</p>	<p>\$650</p> <p>\$500</p> <p>\$350</p> <p>\$650</p> <p>\$500</p>
<p><i>In Re Hydroxycut Marketing & Sales Practices Litig.</i>, No. 3:09-MD-02087-BTM (KSC)</p> <p>Decl. of Timothy G. Blood ¶¶ 28-29, 31</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Timothy G. Blood - Leslie Hurst - Thomas O’Reardon, II - Paula Roach - Paralegals - Document Clerk 	<p>Bar admission – 1990 = 24 years’ experience</p> <p>Bar admission – 1995 = 19 years’ experience</p> <p>Bar admission – 2006 = 8 years’ experience</p> <p>Bar admission – 2007 = 7 years’ experience</p>	<p>\$695</p> <p>\$585</p> <p>\$510</p> <p>\$410</p> <p>\$150-\$290</p> <p>\$225</p>
<p><i>In re Quaker Oats Labeling Litig.</i>, 5:10-CV-00502-RS, Order at pp. 7-8, July 29, 2014, approving rates for injunctive relief only settlement, as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ronald A. Marron 		

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Skye Resendes - Alexis Wood - Kas Gallucci - Erin J. Minelli 	<p>Over 19 years' experience</p> <p>4th year of practice</p> <p>7th year of practice</p> <p>2d year of practice</p> <p>7th year of practice</p>	<p>\$715</p> <p>\$440</p> <p>\$425</p> <p>\$400</p> <p>\$400</p>
<p><i>In re Ferrero Litigation</i>, No. 12-56469, D.C. No. 3:11-cv-00205-H-KSC, unpublished July 16, 2014 Ninth Circuit Memorandum Opinion, approving rates incurred for injunctive relief settlement in 2012, as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ronald A. Marron - Skye Resendes <p><i>See also</i> Final Order and Judgment ¶ 11 (“The Court concludes the billing rates used by Class Counsel to be justified by prior awards in similar litigation and the evidence presented with their motion showing these rates are in line with prevailing rates in this District.”), and Decl. of Ronald A. Marron in support of fees in that case.</p>	<p>Over 17 years' experience at the time of fee award</p> <p>1 year of experience at time of fee award</p>	<p>\$650</p> <p>\$385</p>